arXiv:0710.5148v2 [math.NA] 28 Oct 2007

The Bramble-Hilbert Lemma*

Jan Mandel
University of Colorado Denver

Abstract

This is an introductory document surveying several results in polynomial approximation, known as the Bramble-Hilbert lemma.

1 Introduction

In numerical analysis, the Bramble-Hilbert lemma bounds the error of an approximation of a function u by a polynomial of order at most $m-1$ in terms of derivatives of u of order m. Both the error of the approximation and the derivatives of u are measured by L^p norms on a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. This is similar to classical numerical analysis, where, for example, the error of interpolation u on an interval by a linear function (that is, approximation by a polynomial of order one) can be bounded using the second derivative of u. The difference is that the Bramble-Hilbert lemma applies in any number of dimensions, not just one dimension, and the approximation error and the derivatives of u are measured by more general norms involving averages, not just the maximum norm.

Additional assumptions on the domain are needed for the Bramble-Hilbert lemma to hold. Essentially, the boundary of the domain must be "reasonable". For example, domains that have a spike or a slit with zero angle at the tip are excluded. Domains that are reasonable enough include all convex domains and Lipschitz domains, which includes all domains with a continuously differentiable boundary.

The main use of the Bramble-Hilbert lemma is to prove bounds on the error of interpolation of function u by an operator that preserves polynomials of order up to $m-1$, in terms of the derivatives of u of order m. This is an essential step in error estimates for the finite element method. The Bramble-Hilbert lemma is applied there on the domain consisting of one element.

2 The one dimensional case

Before stating the lemma in full generality, it is useful to look at some simple special cases. In one dimension and for a function u that has m derivatives on interval (a,b), the lemma reduces to

$$\inf_{v\in P_{m-1}} \left\|u^{(k)}-v^{(k)}\right\|_{L^p(a,b)} \le C\,(m)\,(b-a)^{m-k}\left\|u^{(m)}\right\|_{L^p(a,b)},$$

where P_{m-1} is the space of all polynomials of order at most $m-1$.

*I place this document in the public domain and you can do with it anything you want.

In the case when $p = \infty$, $m = 2$, $k = 1$, and u is twice differentiable, this means that there exists a polynomial v of degree one such that for all $x \in (a, b)$,

$$|u(x) - v(x)| \leq C(b-a)^2 \sup_{(a,b)} |u''|, \quad \text{for all } x \in (a,b).$$

This inequality follows from the well-known error estimate for linear interpolation by choosing v as the linear interpolant of u.

3 Statement of the lemma

Suppose Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, with boundary $\partial\Omega$ and diameter d. $W_p^k(\Omega)$ is the Sobolev space of all function u on Ω with weak derivatives $D^\alpha u$ of order $|\alpha|$ up to k in $L^p(\Omega)$. Here, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ is a multiindex, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n$, and D^α denotes the derivative α_1 times with respect to x_1, α_2 times with respect to α_2, and so on. The Sobolev seminorm on $W_p^m(\Omega)$ consists of the L^p norms of the highest order derivatives,

$$|u|_{W_p^m(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty$$

and

$$|u|_{W_\infty^m(\Omega)} = \max_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$$

P_k is the space of all polynomials of order up to k on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Note that $D^\alpha v = 0$ for all $v \in P_{m-1}$. and $|\alpha| = m$, so $|u+v|_{W_p^m(\Omega)}$ has the same value for any $v \in P_{k-1}$.

Lemma (Bramble and Hilbert) Under additional assumptions on the domain Ω, specified below, there exists a constant $C = C(m, \Omega)$ independent of p and u such that for any $u \in W_p^k(\Omega)$ there exists a polynomial $v \in P_{m-1}$ such that for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$,

$$|u - v|_{W_p^k(\Omega)} \leq C d^{m-k} |u|_{W_p^m(\Omega)}.$$

4 The original result

The lemma was proved by Bramble and Hilbert [1] under the assumption that Ω satisfies the *strong cone property;* that is, there exists a finite open covering $\{O_i\}$ of $\partial\Omega$ and corresponding cones $\{C_i\}$ with vertices at the origin such that $x + C_i$ is contained in Ω for any $x \in \Omega \cap O_i$.

The statement of the lemma here is a simple rewriting of the right-hand inequality stated in Theorem 1 in [1]. The actual statement in [1] is that the norm of the factorspace $W_p^m(\Omega)/P_{m-1}$ is equivalent to the $W_p^m(\Omega)$ seminorm. The $W_p^m(\Omega)$ is not the usual one but the terms are scaled with d so that the right-hand inequality in the equivalence of the seminorms comes out exactly as in the statement here.

In the original result, the choice of the polynomial is not specified, and the dependence of the constant on the domain Ω is not given either.

5 A constructive form

An alternative result was given by Dupont and Scott [4] under the assumption that the domain Ω is *star-shaped;* that is, there exists a ball B such that for any $x \in \Omega$, the closed convex hull of

$\{x\} \cup B$ is a subset of Ω. Suppose that $\rho_{\max}$ is the supremum of the diameters of such balls. The ratio $\gamma = d/\rho_{\max}$ is called the chunkiness of Ω.

Given a fixed ball B as above, and a function u, the averaged Taylor polynomial $Q^m u$ is defined as

$$Q^m u = \int_B T_y^m u\,(x)\,\psi\,(y)\,dx,$$

where

$$T_y^m u\,(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D^\alpha u\,(y)\,(x-y)^\alpha$$

is the Taylor polynomial of degree at most $m-1$ of u centered at y evaluated at x, and $\psi \geq 0$ is a function that has derivatives of all orders, equals to zero outside of B, and such that

$$\int_B \psi dx = 1.$$

Such function ψ always exists.

Then the lemma holds with the constant $C = C\,(m, n, \gamma)$, that is, the constant depends on the domain Ω only through its chunkiness γ and the dimension of the space n. For more details and a tutorial treatment, see the monograph by Brenner and Scott [2]. The result can be extended to the case when the domain Ω is the union of a finite number of star-shaped domains and more general polynomial spaces than the space of all polynomials up to a given degree [4].

6 Bound on linear functionals

This result follows immediately from the above lemma, and it is also called sometimes the Bramble-Hilbert lemma, for example by Ciarlet [3]. It is essentially Theorem 2 from [1].

Lemma Suppose that ℓ is a continuous linear functional on $W_p^m(\Omega)$ and $\|\ell\|_{W_p^m(\Omega)'}$ its dual norm. Suppose that $\ell\,(v) = 0$ for all $v \in P_{m-1}$. Then there exists a constant $C = C\,(\Omega)$ such that

$$|\ell\,(u)| \leq C\,\|\ell\|_{W_p^m(\Omega)'}\,|u|_{W_p^m(\Omega)}\,.$$

References

[1] J. H. Bramble and S. R. Hilbert. Estimation of linear functionals on Sobolev spaces with application to Fourier transforms and spline interpolation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 7:112–124, 1970.

[2] Susanne C. Brenner and L. Ridgway Scott. *The mathematical theory of finite element methods*, volume 15 of *Texts in Applied Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 2002.

[3] Philippe G. Ciarlet. *The finite element method for elliptic problems*, volume 40 of *Classics in Applied Mathematics*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2002. Reprint of the 1978 original [North-Holland, Amsterdam].

[4] Todd Dupont and Ridgway Scott. Polynomial approximation of functions in Sobolev spaces. *Math. Comp.*, 34(150):441–463, 1980.